arXiv:1403.4557v1 [math.CO] 18 Mar 2014

ELEMENTARY PROOF THAT $\mathbb{Z}_p^4$ IS A DCI-GROUP

JOY MORRIS

Abstract. A finite group R is a DCI-group if, whenever S and T are subsets of R with the Cayley graphs $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(R, T)$ isomorphic, there exists an automorphism φ of R with $S^\varphi = T$.

Elementary abelian groups of order p^4 or smaller are known to be DCI-groups, while those of sufficiently large rank are known not to be DCI-groups. The only published proof that elementary abelian groups of order p^4 are DCI-groups uses Schur rings and does not work for $p = 2$ (which has been separately proven using computers). This paper provides a simpler proof that works for all primes. Some of the results in this paper also apply to elementary abelian groups of higher rank, so may be useful for completing our determination of which elementary abelian groups are DCI-groups.

1. Introduction

The classification of DCI-groups is an open problem in the theory of Cayley graphs and is closely related to the isomorphism problem for graphs. It is a long-standing problem that has been worked on a lot, see [4, 8] for additional background. The formulation of this problem was introduced by Babai in [2]. Elementary abelian groups of order p^4 or smaller are known to be DCI-groups [14, 5, 1, 3, 6], while those of sufficiently large rank are known not to be DCI-groups [11, 13, 12]. The only published proof that elementary abelian groups of order p^4 are DCI-groups [6], uses Schur rings and does not work for $p = 2$ (which has been separately proven using computers). This paper provides a simpler proof that works for all primes. It is based on work from the author's PhD thesis [9] (which was completed concurrently with the Hirasaka-Muzychuk result), but has been considerably shortened and simplified. Some of the results in this paper have been newly generalised to apply to elementary abelian groups of higher rank, so may be useful for completing our determination of which elementary abelian groups are DCI-groups.

Let R be a finite group and let S be a subset of R. The *Cayley digraph* of R with connection set S, denoted $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$, is the digraph with vertex set R and with (x, y) being an arc if and only if $x^{-1}y \in S$. Now, $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ is said to have the *Cayley isomorphism* property for digraphs, or be a DCI-*graph* for short, if whenever $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cay}(R, T)$, there exists an automorphism φ of R with $\varphi(S) = T$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S) \cong \mathrm{Cay}(R, \varphi(S))$ for every $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(R)$ so that for a DCI-graph, solving the isomorphism problem boils down to understanding the automorphisms of the group R. The group R is a DCI-*group* if $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DCI-graph for every subset S of R. Moreover, R is a CI-group if $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B10, 20B25, 05E18.

Key words and phrases. Cayley graph, isomorphism problem, CI-group, dihedral group.

This research was supported in part by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

a DCI-graph for every inverse-closed subset S of R. Thus every DCI-group is a CI-group.

Throughout this paper, p will always denote a prime number, and calculations are always performed modulo p (i.e., in $\mathbb{Z}_p$).

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime number and let R be the elementary abelian group of order p^4. Then R is a* DCI*-group.*

The structure of the paper is straightforward. In Section 2, we provide some preliminary definitions and notation, and reproduce some lemmas from other papers that will apply directly to our situation, including our main tool. In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Where possible, we will state our results in the more general context of Cayley graphs on arbitrary elementary abelian groups, as some of the results may be useful for proving that elementary abelian groups of higher rank are DCI-groups.

2. Preliminary results and notation

Babai [2] proved a very useful criterion for determining when a finite group R is a DCI-group and, more generally, when $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ is a DCI-graph.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a finite group and let S be a subset of R. Then* $\mathrm{Cay}(R, S)$ *is a* DCI*-graph if and only if* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(R, S))$ *contains a unique conjugacy class of regular subgroups isomorphic to R.*

Let Ω be a finite set and let G be a permutation group on Ω. The 2-*closure* of G, denoted $G^{(2)}$, is the set

$$\{\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega) \mid \forall(\omega, \omega') \in \Omega^2, \text{there exists } g_{\omega\omega'} \in G \text{ with } \pi((\omega, \omega')) = g_{\omega\omega'}((\omega, \omega'))\},$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ is the symmetric group on Ω. Observe that in the definition of $G^{(2)}$, the element $g_{\omega\omega'}$ of G may depend upon the ordered pair (ω, ω'). The group G is said to be 2-*closed* if $G = G^{(2)}$.

It is easy to verify that $G^{(2)}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ containing G and, in fact, $G^{(2)}$ is the smallest (with respect to inclusion) subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ preserving every orbital digraph of G. It follows that the automorphism group of a graph is 2-closed. Therefore Lemma 2.1 immediately yields:

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 2.2 of [4])**.** *Let R be a finite group and let R_L be the left regular representation of R in Sym(R). If, for every $\pi \in$ Sym(R), the groups R_L and $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ are conjugate in $\langle R_L, \pi^{-1}R_L\pi\rangle^{(2)}$, then R is a* DCI*-group.*

We will use this formulation of Babai's criterion without comment in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

We now set up some notation that will be used throughout the rest of the paper.

Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank n. Set $G = \langle R_L, \pi^{-1}R_L\pi\rangle$. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G with $R \leq P$ and let T be a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$ with $P \leq T$. From Sylow's theorems, replacing $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ by a suitable G-conjugate, we may assume that $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi \leq P$, so that in fact $G = P$. From now on we will refer exclusively to G, but keep in mind that G itself is a p-group.

Observe that the group T is $\mathbb{Z}_p \,\mathrm{wr} \ldots \mathrm{wr}\, \mathbb{Z}_p$ (n copies of $\mathbb{Z}_p$), which has a unique system of imprimitivity with blocks of size p^i for each $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since R_L and $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ are acting regularly, they must admit these same systems of imprimitivity.

For $0 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the system of imprimitivity of T that consists of blocks of size p^i.

For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, choose τ_i to be an element of R_L that fixes each set in $\mathcal{B}_{i+1}$ setwise, and has order p in its action on the sets in $\mathcal{B}_i$. Notice that $\langle \tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{n-1} \rangle = R_L$. Let v be a fixed element of R (recall that both R_L and $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ are acting on R). For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, define τ_i' to be the unique element of $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ such that $\tau_i'(v) = \tau_i(v)$.

If we say that a permutation fixes every element of $\mathcal{B}_i$ for some i, this means that the blocks of $\mathcal{B}_i$ are all fixed setwise, and does not imply that any point of R is fixed.

For any $v \in R$, use B_v to denote the element of $\mathcal{B}_1$ that contains v, and C_v to denote the element of $\mathcal{B}_2$ that contains v. In some cases, we will be dealing with two or even three systems of imprimitivity of G with blocks of size p; in this event, we call the additional systems $\mathcal{B}_1'$ and $\mathcal{B}_1''$, and B_v' and B_v'' will denote the elements of these systems (respectively) that contain v.

The following result is a restatement of Proposition 2.3 of [10].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ and R_L be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and lie in the same Sylow p-subgroup of Sym(R). Suppose that $\tau \in R_L$ fixes every element of $\mathcal{B}_i$ for some $i \geq 1$, and has order p on the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$. Let $\tau' \in \pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ be such that $\tau'(B) = \tau(B)$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$. Then $\tau'(B') = \tau(B')$ for every $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$.*

The hypothesis that $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ and R_L lie in the same Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(R)$ will generally be considered to be part of the notation we have established (that G is a p-group), so will be tacitly assumed in our results. Since it is the key assumption needed to prove the above result, however, we have stated it explicitly this once.

We introduce a bit more notation that will be required for the next result, and will be used in Section 3. Let K be the kernel of the action of G on $\mathcal{B}_1$. We define an equivalence relation $\equiv$ on Ω. Given $x, x' \in R$, we have $x \equiv x'$ whenever, for every $\rho \in G$, $\rho|_{B_x} = \mathrm{id}|_{B_x}$ if and only if $\rho|_{B_{x'}} = \mathrm{id}|_{B_{x'}}$ (or equivalently, $\rho|_{B_x}$ is a p-cycle if and only if $\rho|_{B_{x'}}$ is a p-cycle). Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the set of equivalence classes of $\equiv$.

Lemma 2.4. *For every $\rho \in K$ and for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$, the permutation $\rho_E : R \to R$, fixing $R \setminus E$ pointwise and acting on E as ρ does, lies in $G^{(2)}$.*

Proof. This is Lemma 2 in [7]. (We remark that [7, Lemma 2] is only stated for graphs, but the result holds for each orbital digraph of G, and hence for $G^{(2)}$.) □

The final result that we require that we require from the existing literature is Proposition 2.7 from [10], restated slightly.

Lemma 2.5. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank n. Under the notation we have established, if $\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{n-2} \in \pi^{-1}R_L\pi$, then R_L and $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ are conjugate in $G^{(2)}$.*

3. τ_1'

In this section, we prove a key lemma that will allow us to assume that for any elementary abelian group R (regardless of the rank), the centre of G has order at least p^2. Specifically, we will prove that there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that ψ commutes with τ_0, and $\psi\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi$ contains τ_1. Notice that Lemma 2.3 immediately implies

that $\tau_0' = \tau_0 \in Z(G)$, so proving this will allow us to assume that $|Z(G)| \geq p^2$; specifically, that $\tau_0 = \tau_0'$ and $\tau_1' = \tau_1$ in the final section.

Since the following lemma applies quite broadly, we state it in general terms. We will follow with a corollary that more clearly applies this lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank n. Under the notation we have established, if $\tau' \in \pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ and $\tau \in R_L$ such that $\tau^{-1}\tau'$ fixes every element of $\mathcal{B}_1$, then there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that $\psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi$ contains τ.*

Furthermore, if α fixes every element of $\mathcal{E}$, then ψ commutes with α.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $\tau_0' = \tau_0$. Replace τ' if necessary by $\tau_0^c\tau'$ for some c, so that $\tau^{-1}\tau'(v) = v$. The hypotheses of this lemma are still satisfied.

We will use g to denote $\tau^{-1}\tau'$. Notice that for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$, since g fixes B setwise and is in the p-group G, we must have $g|_B = \tau_0^{c_B}|_B$ for some c_B. Furthermore, if $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $B, B' \subseteq E \in \mathcal{E}$, then using $\rho = \tau_0^{-c_B}g$ in the definition of $\equiv$, we see that we must have $c_B = c_{B'}$. If $c_B = c_{B'}$ for every $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_1$, then since $g(v) = v$, we have $c_B = 0$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$, and hence $\tau' = \tau$, so letting $\psi = \mathrm{id}$ yields the desired conclusion. Therefore, in the remainder of this proof, we may assume that $|\mathcal{E}| > 1$, and that there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ such that $c_B \neq 0$.

Now we show that for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $\tau(E) \neq E$. Let $\sigma \in R_L$ be such that $\sigma(v) \in B$, where $c_B \neq 0$, and let $\sigma' \in \pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ be such that $\sigma'(v) = \sigma(v)$, so $\sigma^{-1}\sigma'(v) = v$. Let $w = \tau(v)$. Then since both R_L and $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ are abelian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{-1}\sigma'(w) &= \sigma^{-1}\tau'\sigma'(v) \\ &= \sigma^{-1}\tau_0^{c_B}\tau\sigma(v) \\ &= \tau_0^{c_B}(w). \end{aligned}$$

(To get the second line, we are using the definition of c_B.) Thus using $\rho = \sigma^{-1}\sigma'$ in the definition of $\equiv$, we see that when $v \in E \in \mathcal{E}$, we have $w = \tau(v) \not\equiv v$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is invariant under G and G is transitive, this proves that for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $\tau(E) \neq E$.

We know that $\mathcal{E}$ consists of p^j classes, for some $j \geq 1$. Since R_L is elementary abelian, τ has order p, so the classes of $\mathcal{E}$ can be partitioned into p^{j-1} orbits of τ, which we will refer to as orbit 1, ..., orbit p^{j-1}. For orbit i, we arbitrarily choose one element of $\mathcal{E}$ in that orbit, and label it E_i. Now, the elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are

$$\{\tau^k(E_i) : 0 \leq k \leq p-1, 1 \leq i \leq p^{j-1}\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq p^{j-1}$, let $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$ be such that $B_i \subseteq E_i$. Define ψ as follows: for any i, $\psi|_{E_i} = \mathrm{id}|_{E_i}$, and for any integer k,

$$\psi|_{\tau^k(E_i)} = \tau_0^{\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} c_{\tau^t(B_i)}}|_{\tau^k(E_i)}.$$

Notice that since τ' has order p, $\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} c_{\tau^t(B_i)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, making this definition consistent.

Now, ψ is a product of elements of the form $(\tau_0^c)_E$ (using the notation of Lemma 2.4), so by Lemma 2.4, we have $\psi \in G^{(2)}$. Since $\tau_0 \in Z(G)$, it is clear that ψ commutes with any α that fixes every element of $\mathcal{E}$.

We claim that $\psi^{-1}\tau'\psi = \tau$, which will complete the proof. Let $x \in R$ be arbitrary, and let k, i be such that $x \in \tau^k(E_i)$. Then since $\tau_0 \in Z(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-1}\tau'\psi(x) &= \psi^{-1}\tau'\tau_0^{\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} c_{\tau^t(B_i)}}(x)\\ &= \psi^{-1}\tau_0^{\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} c_{\tau^t(B_i)}}\tau'(x)\\ &= \psi^{-1}\tau_0^{\sum_{t=0}^{k} c_{\tau^t(B_i)}}\tau(x)\\ &= \tau(x)\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank n. Under the notation we have established, there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that ψ commutes with τ_0, and $\psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R\pi\psi$ contains τ_1. Thus we may assume that $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in Z(G)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\tau_0' = \tau_0$ fixes every element of $\mathcal{E}$ setwise by the definition of $\equiv$, and for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we have $\tau_1^{-1}\tau_1'(B) = B$. Thus τ_1' and τ_1 fulfill the requirements of Lemma 3.1, with τ_0 taking the role of α. □

4. An easy case

In this section, we will consider the possibility that τ_2' is "close" to τ_2 (meaning that $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ or some other system of imprimitivity with blocks of size p). We determine some circumstances under which this situation must arise, and conclude that the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete under these circumstances.

Corollary 3.2 has concluded that we may assume $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in Z(G)$. This means that for any $g \in G$ and any $w \in R$, if $g(w) = \tau_0^i\tau_1^j(w) \in C_w$, then $g^k(w) = \tau_0^{ki}\tau_1^{kj}$. Thus G lies in multiple Sylow p-subgroups of $\mathrm{Sym}(R)$; in particular, every Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(R)$ that admits $\mathcal{B}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ as systems of imprimitivity as well as admitting any one of the $p+1$ systems of blocks of size p that are preserved by R_L. (In addition to $\mathcal{B}_1$, these are the orbits of $(\tau_0)^i\tau_1$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$.) Our argument about the action of g demonstrates that orbits of G_v meet any block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ in either a single point, one of these blocks of size p, or the entire block of $\mathcal{B}_2$.

By our observations above, we may replace $\mathcal{B}_1$ by any of the other systems of imprimitivity with blocks of size p that are refinements of $\mathcal{B}_2$ and are admitted by G, and redefine $\equiv$ and $\mathcal{E}$ accordingly. This concept gives us the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be an elementary abelian group. Under the notation we have established, if $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ fixes every block of some system of imprimitivity of R_L with blocks of size p that is a refinement of $\mathcal{B}_2$, then there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ that commutes with τ_0 and τ_1 and such that $\tau_2 \in \psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, we may assume that $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in Z(G)$. Replacing $\mathcal{B}_1$ by the system of imprimitivity whose blocks are fixed by $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ and applying Lemma 3.1, we see that there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that $\tau_2 \in \psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi$. Furthermore, τ_0 and τ_1 commute with $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ and so have the property of α in the statement of that lemma. Thus, ψ commutes with both τ_0 and τ_1. □

The next lemma and corollary point out a circumstance under which the above special situation must arise. In order to find appropriate elements of $G^{(2)}$ that will conjugate $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ to R_L, the orbits of particular subgroups of G will be key. The

subgroups of interest are those that fix the vertex v, while simultaneously fixing every block of $\mathcal{B}_i$ for some i. For any fixed i, we will denote this subgroup by $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_i}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank 4. Under the notation we have established, suppose there are blocks $C, C' \in \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B \subseteq C$, and also fixes each $B' \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B' \subseteq C'$. Further suppose that $\alpha \in R_L$ is such that $\alpha(C_v) = C$ and there is no i such that $\alpha^i(C_v) = C'$. Then $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ fixes every element of $\mathcal{B}_1$ (setwise).*

Proof. There are p^2 elements of $\mathcal{B}_2$. By our assumptions, if $\beta \in R_L$ is such that $\beta(C_v) = C'$, then any element of $\mathcal{B}_2$ can be written uniquely as $\alpha^i\beta^j(C_v)$, where $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$.

We will show that if $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ in $\alpha^i\beta^j(C_v)$ setwise, then $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ in $\alpha^{i+1}\beta^j(C_v)$ setwise; and likewise, $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ in $\alpha^i\beta^{j+1}(C_v)$ setwise. Inductively, this will show that $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ setwise, so in particular, $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2' \in G_v$, which fixes each block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ setwise, must actually fix each block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ setwise.

Let g be an arbitrary element of $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$. Let $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Since (by assumption) g fixes each block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ in $\alpha^i\beta^j(C_v)$ setwise, in particular we may assume that $g\alpha^i\beta^j(v) = \tau_0^k\alpha^i\beta^j(v)$ for some k. Thus $g' = \alpha^{-i}\beta^{-j}\tau_0^{-k}g\alpha^i\beta^j \in G_v$, and since g and τ_0 fix every element of $\mathcal{B}_2$, so does g', so $g' \in G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$. We therefore have that g' fixes every $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B \subset \gamma(C_v)$. Let B be arbitrary subject to the constraints $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $B \subset \gamma\alpha^i\beta^j(C_v)$. Let $B' \subset \gamma(C_v)$ be such that $\alpha^i\beta^j(B') = B$. We know that g' fixes B', so $\alpha^{-i}\beta^{-j}\tau_0^{-k}g\alpha^i\beta^j(B') = B'$. This implies that $\tau_0^k(B) = g(B)$. Since τ_0 fixes B setwise, so must g. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank 4. Under the notation we have established, suppose there are blocks $C, C' \in \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B \subseteq C$, and also fixes each $B' \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B' \subseteq C'$. Further suppose that $\alpha \in R_L$ is such that $\alpha(C_v) = C$ and there is no i such that $\alpha^i(C_v) = C'$. Then there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ that commutes with τ_0 and τ_1, such that $\tau_2 \in \psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.1. □

This gives us the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.4. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank 4. Under the notation we have established, if either:*

- *$\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ fixes every block of some system of imprimitivity of R_L with blocks of size p that is a refinement of $\mathcal{B}_2$; or*
- *there are blocks $C, C' \in \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ fixes each $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B \subseteq C$, and also fixes each $B' \in \mathcal{B}_1$ with $B' \subseteq C'$. Furthermore, if $\alpha \in R_L$ is such that $\alpha(C_v) = C$ then there is no i such that $\alpha^i(C_v) = C'$,*

then there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that $\psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi = R_L$.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.1, and Lemma 2.5. □

Thus, in the next section, we may assume that there is no system of imprimitivity of R_L with blocks of size p that is a refinement of $\mathcal{B}_2$ whose blocks are all fixed by $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$. We may also assume that if $\alpha, \beta \in R_L$ such that there is no i for which $\alpha^i(C_v) = \beta(C_v)$, then there is no system of imprimitivity of R_L with blocks of size p that is a refinement of $\mathcal{B}_2$ such that the orbits of $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$ in both $\alpha(C_v)$ and $\beta(C_v)$ are subsets of these blocks.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with a lemma that gives us important information about certain orbits of G_v. In the lemma, we show that if $v \in D_v \in \mathcal{B}_i$, and $\alpha \in R_L$, then knowing something about the orbits of G' in $\alpha(D_v)$ informs us about the orbits of G' in $\alpha^j(D_v)$ for any j. Specifically, if the orbits in $\alpha(D_v)$ are not contained within the blocks of some smaller block system $\mathcal{B}_k$ of R_L, then neither are the orbits in $\alpha^j(D_v)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be an elementary abelian group. Under the notation we have established, suppose $D, D_v \in \mathcal{B}_i$, with $v \in D_v$ and $D = \alpha(D_v)$ for some $\alpha \in R_L$. Suppose that some element of G_v does not fix some block of $\mathcal{B}_j$ in D (setwise), where $j < i$. Then for any $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, some element of G_v does not fix some block of $\mathcal{B}_j$ in $\alpha^k(D_v)$ (setwise).*

Proof. Let t be as small as possible such that some element of G_v does not fix some block of $\mathcal{B}_j$ in $\alpha^{kt}(D_v)$ (setwise). Such a t exists since α has order p, so $\alpha^{kt} = \alpha$ for some $1 \leq t \leq p-1$. By assumption, there exist $F \in \mathcal{B}_j$ such that $F \subset \alpha^{kt}(D_v)$ and $g \in G_v$ such that $g(F) \neq F$. Let $\beta \in R_L$ be such that $\beta^{kt}(v) \in F$.

Now, by our choice of t, every element of G_v fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_j$ in $\alpha^{k(t-1)}(D_v)$ (setwise). In particular, if F_v is the block of $\mathcal{B}_j$ that contains v, then $\beta^{k(t-1)}(F_v)$ is fixed (setwise) by g, so there exists some $\gamma \in R_L$ such that γg fixes the point $\beta^{k(t-1)}(v)$. Conjugating γg by $\beta^{k(t-1)}$ yields an element of G_v that does not fix $\beta^k(F_v) \subset \beta^k(D_v) = \alpha^k(D_v)$. □

We must deepen our understanding of the orbits of G_v. We define a new relation $\sim$ on the points of R as follows. We say $v_1 \sim v_2$ if there exists $v_3 \in C_{v_2}$ such that there is no $g \in G_{v_1}$ with $g(v_2) = v_3$, i.e. C_{v_2} is not contained in an orbit of G_{v_1}. Notice that Lemma 5.1 shows that this relation is symmetric, since if $v_2 = \alpha(v_1)$ then there is some i such that $\alpha^i(v_2) \in C_{v_1}$, and the lengths of the intersection of the orbits of G_{v_2} in $C_{v_1} = \alpha^i(C_{v_2})$ are the same as the lengths of the orbits of G_{v_1} in $\alpha^i(C_{v_1})$, since these are conjugate. The relation $\sim$ need not be transitive, but we can define an equivalence relation $\equiv_2$ by $v_1 \equiv_2 v_2$ if there is a sequence $u_1 = v_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i = v_2$ such that $u_1 \sim u_2 \sim \ldots \sim u_i$.

In the case $n = 4$, the relation $\equiv_2$ may have 1, p, or p^2 equivalence classes, since clearly any two vertices in the same block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ are equivalent. If $\equiv_2$ has more than one equivalence class, then each equivalence class has the form $\cup_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha^i(C_w)$ for some $\alpha \in R_L$ (since the equivalence classes are blocks of G; note that if there are p^2 equivalence classes, then α fixes C_w). Thus Lemma 5.1 in fact proves that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in this case.

Before proving our main lemmas, we prove a result that will be needed in both.

Lemma 5.2. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank 4. Under the notation we have established, $v \not\sim w$ for any $w \in \tau_2(C_v)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.4, we may assume that for any $\mathcal{B}_1$, some block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ is not fixed by $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1$ be an arbitrary refinement of $\mathcal{B}_2$ with blocks of size p.

By the definition of $\sim$, showing that $v \not\sim w$ is equivalent to showing that $\tau_2(C_v)$ is contained in an orbit of G_v. Let $\alpha(B_v)$ be a block of $\mathcal{B}_1$ that is not fixed by $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$. Consider $(\alpha')^{-1}\alpha$, where α' is the element of $\pi^{-1}R_L\pi$ such that $\alpha'(v) = \alpha(v)$. Clearly $(\alpha')^{-1}\alpha \in G_v$. Notice that

$$\alpha'(\tau_2(B_v)) = \alpha'(\tau_2'(B_v)) = \tau_2'(\alpha'(B_v)) = \tau_2'(\alpha(B_v)) \neq \tau_2(\alpha(B_v)).$$

Therefore, $(\alpha')^{-1}\alpha(\tau_2(B_v)) = (\alpha')^{-1}\tau_2\alpha(B_v) \neq \tau_2(B_v)$. Hence the orbits of G_v in $\tau_2(C_v)$ are not contained in the blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1$. There was nothing special about the choice of $\mathcal{B}_1$, so the orbits of G_v in $\tau_2(C_v)$ are not contained in the blocks of any system of imprimitivity of G that is a refinement of $\mathcal{B}_2$ with blocks of size p. The only way this can happen is if $\tau_2(C_v)$ is contained in an orbit of G_v, as claimed. □

In the next result, we dispose of the cases where $\equiv_2$ (and so $\sim$) have more than one equivalence class.

Lemma 5.3. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank 4. Under the notation we have established, suppose that $\equiv_2$ has more than one equivalence class. Then there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that $\psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi = R_L$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, we know that $v \not\sim \tau_2(v)$. Since $\sim$ is actually an equivalence relation under our current assumptions, this in fact implies that $\tau_2(v)$ is not in the same equivalence class (of $\equiv_2$) as v.

Redefine τ_3 if necessary, so that if $\equiv_2$ has p equivalence classes, then the equivalence class containing C_v is $\cup_{i=0}^{p-1}\tau_3^i(C_v)$. Define φ by $\varphi(w) = \tau_2'^j\tau_2^{-j}(w)$ for $w \in \tau_2^j\tau_3^i(C_v)$.

First notice that φ commutes with τ_0 and τ_1. By Corollary 3.2, we may therefore assume that $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in \varphi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\varphi$. Let $w \in R$ be arbitrary, say $w \in \tau_2^j\tau_3^i(C_v)$. Then we have

$$\varphi^{-1}\tau_2'\varphi(w) = \varphi^{-1}\tau_2'\tau_2'^j\tau_2^{-j}(w) = \tau_2^{j+1}\tau_2'^{-(j+1)}\tau_2'^{j+1}\tau_2^{-j}(w) = \tau_2(w),$$

so $\varphi^{-1}\tau_2'\varphi = \tau_2$. Notice that since $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ is in $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$, φ also fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ (setwise). By the definition of $\equiv_2$, in order to ensure that $\varphi \in G^{(2)}$, we need only verify that for any pair w_1, w_2 with $w_1 \equiv_2 w_2$, there is some $g \in G$ such that $g(w_1) = \varphi(w_1)$ and $g(w_2) = \varphi(w_2)$. But this is clear from the definition of φ, with $g = \tau_2'^j\tau_2^{-j}$ since $w_1 \equiv_2 w_2$ implies that if $w_1 \in \tau_2^j\tau_3^{i_1}(C_v)$, then $w_2 \in \tau_2^j\tau_3^{i_2}(C_v)$.

Thus, $\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \varphi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\varphi$. Now Lemma 2.5 completes the proof. □

We now complete the proof with a longer result that deals with the case where $\equiv_2$ has a single equivalence class.

Lemma 5.4. *Let R be an elementary abelian group of rank 4. Under the notation we have established, suppose that $\equiv_2$ has a single equivalence class. Then there exists $\psi \in G^{(2)}$ such that $\psi^{-1}\pi^{-1}R_L\pi\psi = R_L$.*

Proof. Since $\equiv_2$ has a single equivalence class, there must exist $\alpha, \beta \in R_L$ such that α and β each have order p on the blocks of $\mathcal{B}_2$, there is no i such that $\alpha^i(C_v) = \beta(C_v)$, and $v \sim \alpha(v), \beta(v)$. Notice that since we may assume (by Corollary 3.2) that $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in Z(G)$, the intersection of any orbit of G_v with any block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ must be a block admitted by R_L. Observe that since $v \sim \alpha(v), \beta(v)$ and $G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2} \leq G_v$, using the second condition of Corollary 4.4 we may assume that if the intersections of the orbits of G_v with $\alpha(C_v)$ are blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1$, then the intersections of the orbits of G_v with $\beta(C_v)$ are blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1'$, where $\mathcal{B}_1' \neq \mathcal{B}_1$.

By Lemma 5.2, we know that $\alpha(C_v), \beta(C_v) \neq \tau_2^i(C_v)$ for any i, so there exist some j, k such that $\alpha^j\tau_2^k(C_v) = \beta(C_v)$. Since G_v fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_3$ setwise, in particular it fixes the block containing $\alpha^j(C_v)$ and $\beta(C_v)$ setwise. Since $\mathcal{B}_1' \neq \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in Z(G)$, there must not exist $g \in G_v$ such that $g\alpha^j(C_v) = \beta(C_v)$. So G_v must fix every block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ in the block of $\mathcal{B}_3$ that contains $\alpha^j(C_v)$ and $\beta(C_v)$. Using Lemma 5.1 with $i = 3$ and $j = 2$, this implies that $G_v = G_{v,\mathcal{B}_2}$.

Without loss of generality, assume $\beta(C_v) = \tau_2^i\alpha(C_v)$.

For the remainder of the proof, we must consider two cases separately: either there is some $\gamma \in R_L$ such that $\gamma(C_v) = \tau_2^j\alpha(C_v)$ for some $j \neq 0, i$, and $v \sim \gamma(v)$; or $v \not\sim \tau_2^j\alpha(v)$ for every $j \neq 0, i$.

Case 1. There is some $\gamma \in R_L$ such that $\gamma(C_v) = \tau_2^j\alpha(C_v)$ for some $j \neq 0, i$, and $v \sim \gamma(v)$.

Again using Corollary 4.4 we may assume that the orbits of G_v in $\gamma(C_v)$ are blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1''$, where $\mathcal{B}_1'' \neq \mathcal{B}_1', \mathcal{B}_1$.

In this case, we claim that for any $g \in G_v$, if $g(\alpha(v)) = \sigma_1(\alpha(v))$ and $g(\beta(v)) = \sigma_2(\beta(v))$, then for any $w = \alpha^a\beta^b(v)$, we have $g(w) = \sigma_1^a\sigma_2^b(w)$. Since g commutes with τ_0 and τ_1, and every block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ can be written as $\alpha^a\beta^b(C_v)$ for some a, b, this completely determines the action of g.

To prove our claim, we first note that α, β, and γ are interchangeable in the arguments we will make (and in fact in the statement of the claim). Notice that $\alpha(C_v) = \beta^{k_1}\gamma^{k_2}(C_v)$ for some k_1, k_2; in fact (by multiplying by appropriate powers of τ_0 and τ_1) we can choose β and γ such that $\beta^{k_1}\gamma^{k_2}(v) = \alpha(v)$.

Using Lemma 5.1, we have $g(\beta^{k_1}(B_v')) = \beta^{k_1}(B_v')$, so there is some $\sigma_2 \in R_L$ that fixes all blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1'$ such that $\sigma_2^{-1}g$ fixes $\beta^{k_1}(v)$. Conjugating G_v by β^{k_1}, we know that $G_{\beta^{k_1}(v)}$ fixes $\beta^{k_1}\gamma^{k_2}(B_v'')$, so $\sigma_2^{-1}g\alpha(B_v'') = \alpha(B_v'')$. Thus $g\alpha(B_v'') = \sigma_2\alpha(B_v'')$. Similarly, there is some $\sigma_1 \in R_L$ that fixes all blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1$ such that for some x, $\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-x}g$ fixes $\gamma^{k_2}(v)$, and a similar argument shows that $g\alpha(B_v') = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^x\alpha(B_v')$, where $\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-x}$ fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_1''$. In particular, $g\alpha(v) \in \sigma_2\alpha(B_v'') \cap \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^x\alpha(B_v') \cap \alpha(B_v)$, and this intersection consists of the single point $\sigma_1\alpha(v)$ (so $x = 1$). Hence $g\alpha(v) = \sigma_1\alpha(v)$. This argument in fact shows that if we know $g\alpha(v)$, we can determine from it $g\beta^{k_1}(v)$ and $g\gamma^{k_2}(v)$.

Now consider $g(\alpha\beta^{k_1}(v))$. Since $\sigma_1^{-1}g$ fixes $\alpha(v)$, it lies in $\alpha G_v\alpha^{-1}$, so must also fix $\alpha\beta^{k_1}(B_v')$. Similarly, $\sigma_2^{-1}g$ fixes $\beta^{k_1}(v)$, so fixes $\alpha\beta^{k_1}(B_v)$. Hence $g\alpha\beta^{k_1}(v) \in \sigma_1\alpha\beta^{k_1}(B_v') \cap \sigma_2\alpha\beta^{k_1}(B_v)$. Straightforward calculations show that the unique vertex in this intersection is $\sigma_1\sigma_2\alpha\beta^{k_1}(v)$. Now $g\alpha^2(v) \in \alpha^2(B_v)$, say $\sigma_1^x g\alpha^2(v) = \alpha^2(v)$. Then $\sigma_1^x g\alpha\beta^{k_1}(v) \in \alpha\beta^{k_1}(B_v'')$, since $\alpha\beta^{k_1}(C_v) = \gamma^{-k_2}\alpha^2(C_v)$. The unique solution to this is $x = -2$, so $g\alpha^2(v) = \sigma_1^2\alpha^2(v)$. By repeating this argument $p-3$ more times, we may conclude that $g\alpha^a(v) = \sigma_1^a\alpha^a(v)$, for any a. As previously mentioned, there is nothing special about α as compared to β or γ, so a corresponding result for β also holds.

Finally, since any block of $\mathcal{B}_2$ can be written uniquely as $\alpha^a\beta^b(C_v)$, by showing that if $g\alpha^a(v) = \sigma_1^a\alpha^a(v)$ and $g\beta^b(v) = \sigma_2^b\beta^b(v)$ (replacing σ_2 by an appropriate power), then $g\alpha^a\beta^b(v) = \sigma_1^a\sigma_2^b(\alpha^a\beta^b(v))$ we will complete the proof of our claim. Again, our knowledge of the orbits of G_v tells us that since $\sigma_1^{-a}g$ fixes $\alpha^a(v)$, it also fixes $\alpha^a\beta^b(B_v')$, and since $\sigma_2^{-b}g$ fixes $\beta^b(v)$, it also fixes $\alpha^a\beta^b(B_v)$. Thus $g(\alpha^a\beta^b(v)) \in \sigma_1^a(\alpha^a\beta^b(B_v')) \cap \sigma_2^b(\alpha^a\beta^b(B_v))$. The unique point of intersection shows that $g(\alpha^a\beta^b(v)) = \sigma_1^a\sigma_2^b(\alpha^a\beta^b(v))$. This completes the proof of our claim.

If $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ fixes either $\alpha(v)$ or $\beta(v)$, then the above claim demonstrates that $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ in fact fixes every block of either $\mathcal{B}_1'$ or (respectively) every block of $\mathcal{B}_1$, and hence by Corollary 4.4, we are done. So we may assume that $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'(\alpha(v)) = \sigma_1\alpha(v)$ where $\sigma_1 \in R_L$ is not the identity, and $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'(\beta(v)) \neq \beta(v)$.

Now, we have $\alpha^{-1}\alpha' \in G_v$, so by our claim, if $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'$ fixes either $\alpha(v)$ or $\beta(v)$, then it must fix every block system of either $\mathcal{B}_1'$ or $\mathcal{B}_1$ (respectively). But then since the only point that is in both $\gamma(B_v'')$ and either one of $\gamma(B_v)$ or $\gamma(B_v')$ is $\gamma(v)$, we

must have $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'$ also fixes $\gamma(v)$. Now using whichever two of $\alpha(v)$, $\beta(v)$, and $\gamma(v)$ are fixed here, to take the roles of $\alpha(v)$ and $\beta(v)$ in the proof of our claim, we see that $\alpha = \alpha' \in Z(G)$. But then $\tau_2'\alpha(v) = \alpha\tau_2'(v) = \alpha\tau_2(v) = \tau_2\alpha(v)$, which cannot be true since $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'$ does not fix $\alpha(v)$. Thus $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'$ cannot fix either $\alpha(v)$ or $\beta(v)$, and hence (by our claim) does not fix any vertex outside of C_v.

In particular, if $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'(\alpha(v)) = \mu_1(\alpha(v))$ where $\mu_1 \in R_L$ fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_1$, and $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'(\beta(v)) = \mu_2(\beta(v))$ where $\mu_2 \in R_L$ fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_1'$, then $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'(\tau_2(v)) = \alpha^{-1}\alpha'(\alpha^{-1}\beta)^k(v)$ for some k such that $ki = 1$, and by our claim this will be $\mu_1^{-k}\mu_2^k(\alpha^{-1}\beta)^k(v) = \mu_1^{-k}\mu_2^k\tau_2(v)$, with neither μ_1 nor μ_2 being the identity. Also, since α' commutes with τ_2', we have

$$\alpha'\tau_2(v) = \alpha'\tau_2'(v) = \tau_2'\alpha'(v) = \tau_2'\alpha(v) = \sigma_1\tau_2\alpha(v),$$

so $\alpha^{-1}\alpha'\tau_2(v) = \sigma_1\tau_2(v) \in \tau_2(B_v)$. Since μ_2 moves the blocks of $\mathcal{B}_1$ in a p-cycle and $k \neq 0$, $\mu_1^{-k}\mu_2^k\tau_2(v) \notin \tau_2(B_v)$, which is a contradiction.

All of this serves to show that this case cannot arise unless Corollary 4.4 applies.

Case 2. $v \not\sim \tau_2^j\alpha(v)$ for every $j \neq 0, i$.

We need to set up some notation for this case. Let $\sigma_{a,b}$ be the element of R_L that takes $\alpha^a\tau_2^b(v)$ to $\tau_2^{-1}\tau_2'\alpha^a\tau_2^b(v)$. Notice that $\sigma_{a,b}$ fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_2$. For any m, define k_m and k_m' to be the unique values such that

$$\sigma_{1,0}^{k_m}\sigma_{m,0}\sigma_{m,1}\dots\sigma_{m,mi-1} = \sigma_{1,i}^{k_m'}.$$

Define φ by $\varphi(\alpha^a(v)) = \sigma_{1,0}^{k_a}\alpha^a(v)$ for any a, $\varphi(\tau_2^t\alpha^a(v)) = (\tau_2')^t\varphi(\alpha^a(v))$, and φ commutes with τ_0 and τ_1. We claim that $\varphi \in G^{(2)}$, and that $\varphi^{-1}\tau_2'\varphi = \tau_2$. With Lemma 2.5, this will complete the proof.

We begin by showing that $\varphi \in G^{(2)}$. Since φ fixes every block of $\mathcal{B}_2$, according to the assumptions of this case, we need only verify two things: that if w, x are such that $x \in \alpha^a(C_w)$ for some a, then there is some $g \in G$ such that $g(B_x) = \varphi(B_x)$ and $g(B_w) = \varphi(B_w)$; and that if w, x are such that $x \in \beta^a(C_w)$ for some a, then there is some $g \in G$ such that $g(B_x') = \varphi(B_x')$ and $g(B_w') = \varphi(B_w')$

Suppose that $x \in \alpha^a(C_w)$, say $w \in \tau_2^t\alpha^s(C_v)$ and $x \in \tau_2^t\alpha^{s+a}(C_v)$. Then $\varphi(w) = (\tau_2')^t\sigma_{1,0}^{k_s}\tau_2^{-t}(w) \in (\tau_2')^t\tau_2^{-t}(B_w)$. Also, $\varphi(x) = (\tau_2')^t\sigma_{1,0}^{k_{s+a}}\tau_2^{-t}(x) \in (\tau_2')^t\tau_2^{-t}(B_x)$, giving us the desired conclusion with $g = (\tau_2')^t\tau_2^{-t}$.

Suppose that $x \in \beta^a(C_w)$, say $w \in \tau_2^t\alpha^s(C_v)$ and $x \in \tau_2^{t+ia}\alpha^{s+a}(C_v)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(w) &= (\tau_2')^t\sigma_{1,0}^{k_s}\tau_2^{-t}(w) \\
&= (\tau_2')^t\sigma_{1,i}^{k_s'}\sigma_{s,0}^{-1}\sigma_{s,1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{s,si-1}^{-1}\tau_2^{-t}(w) \\
&= \sigma_{1,i}^{k_s'}\sigma_{s,0}^{-1}\sigma_{s,1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{s,si-1}^{-1}\sigma_{s,0}\sigma_{s,1}\dots\sigma_{s,t-1}(w) \\
&\in \sigma_{s,0}^{-1}\sigma_{s,1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{s,si-1}^{-1}\sigma_{s,0}\sigma_{s,1}\dots\sigma_{s,t-1}(B_w') \\
&= (\tau_2')^{t-si}\tau_2^{si-t}(B_w').
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x) &= (\tau_2')^{t+ia}\sigma_{1,0}^{k_{s+a}}\tau_2^{-t-ia}(x) \\
&= (\tau_2')^{t+ia}\sigma_{1,i}^{k_{s+a}'}\sigma_{s+a,0}^{-1}\sigma_{s+a,1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{s+a,(s+a)i-1}^{-1}\tau_2^{-t-ia}(x) \\
&\in \sigma_{s+a,0}^{-1}\sigma_{s+a,1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{s+a,(s+a)i-1}^{-1}\sigma_{s+a,0}\sigma_{s+a,1}\dots\sigma_{s+a,t+ai-1}(B_x') \\
&= (\tau_2')^{t-si}\tau_2^{si-t}(B_x').
\end{aligned}$$

Again we have the desired conclusion, with $g = (\tau_2')^{t-si}\tau_2^{si-t}$. Hence $\varphi \in G^{(2)}$.

Now consider $\varphi^{-1}\tau_2'\varphi(w)$, where $w \in \tau_2^t\alpha^s(C_v)$. Although the calculation in the case $t = p-1$ is different from the other cases, straightforward calculations show that this is $\tau_2(w)$ in all cases. □

We have now proven our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 together prove the desired result. □

References

[1] B. Alspach and L.A. Nowitz, Elementary proofs that $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$ are CI-groups, *Europ. J. Combin.* **20** (1999), 607–617.

[2] L. Babai, Isomorphism problem for a class of point-symmetric structures, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **29** (1977), 329–336.

[3] E. Dobson, Isomorphism problem for Cayley graphs of $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$, *Discrete Math.* **147** (1995), 87–94.

[4] E. Dobson, J. Morris, and P. Spiga, Further restrictions on the structure of finite DCI-groups: an addendum, *submitted*.

[5] C.D. Godsil, On Cayley graph isomorphisms, *Ars Combin.,* **15** (1983), 231–246.

[6] M. Hirasaka and M. Muzychuk, The elementary Abelian group of odd order and rank 4 is a CI-group, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A,* **94** (2001), 339–362.

[7] E. Dobson, Isomorphism problem for Cayley graphs of $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$, *Discrete Math.* **147** (1995), 87–94.

[8] C. H. Li, On isomorphisms of finite Cayley graphs–a survey, *Discrete Math.* **256** (2002), 301–334.

[9] J.M. Morris, *Isomorphisms of Cayley Graphs*, Ph.D. thesis, Simon Fraser University (1999).

[10] J. Morris, Results towards showing $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is a CI-group, *Congr. Numer.* **156** (2002), 143–153.

[11] M. Muzychuk, An elementary abelian group of large rank is not a CI-group, *Discrete Math.* **264** (2003), 167–185.

[12] G. Somlai, Elementary abelian p-groups of rank $2p+3$ are not CI-groups, *J. Alg. Combin.*, **34** (2011), 323–335.

[13] P. Spiga, Elementary abelian p-groups of rank greater than or equal to $4p-2$ are not CI-groups, *J. Alg. Combin.*, **26** (207), 343–355.

[14] J. Turner, Point-symmetric graphs with a prime number of points, *J. Combin. Theory* **3** (1967), 136–145.

Joy Morris, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB. T1K 3M4. Canada

E-mail address: joy@cs.uleth.ca